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Abstract. Visual servoing to moving target with hand-eye cameras fixed at hand is inevitably affected by hand dynamical oscillations, then it is hard to keep target at the centre of camera's image, since nonlinear dynamical effects of whole manipulator stand against tracking abilities of robots. In order to solve this problem, we come up with a system where the visual servoing controller of the hand and eye-vergence is separated independently, so that the cameras can observe the target object at the center of the camera images through eye-vergence functions. The eye with light masses make the cameras' eye-sight direction rotate quickly than the hand's, so the track ability of the eye-vergence motion is superior to the one of hand's. In this report, merits of eye-vergence visual servoing for pose tracking have been confirmed through frequency response experiments on condition of full 6-dof pose being estimated in real time.
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1. Introduction

Nowadays, in a field of robot vision, a control method called a visual servoing attracts attention [1]-[4]. Visual servoing, a method for controlling a robot using visual information in a feedback loop, is expected to be able to allow the robot to adapt to changing or unknown environments.

An eye-vergence visual servoing system, where the visual servoing controller of hand and eye-vergence is separated independently, is said to be superior to fixed ones [5], which have been reported in [1]-[4]. When humans want to keep tracking a fast-moving object, it is not easy to catch up with it by head rotation motion, because it is hard for human to rotate the face to squarely position the object that moves quickly since the mass of head affects dynamically to the rotating motions. However human’s eyes can keep staring at the object because of their small masses and inertial moments. On top of this, generally the final objective of visual servoing is deemed to lie in approaching the end-effector to a target object and then work on it, such as grasping. To achieve the above environment-adapting of visual servoing in which the desired distance between cameras and the target is time-varying, eye-vergence camera system is indispensable to keep suitable viewpoint all the time during the approaching visual servoing with camera rotation so as the cameras to observe the target at the center of camera images during the approaching motions.

To verify the superiority of eye-vergence system, frequency response experiments where target object moves with sinusoidal time profile have been conducted. In this report, the results of the experiments are
2. 3D Pose Tracking Method

2.1. Kinematics of Stereo-Vision

We utilize perspective projection as projection transformation. Fig.1 shows the coordinate system of the dual-eyes vision system. The target object’s coordinate system is represented by $\Sigma_M$, the i-th solid model coordinate system is represented by $\Sigma_{Mi}$ and the image coordinate systems of the left and right cameras are represented by $\Sigma_{IL}$ and $\Sigma_{IR}$. $\Sigma_W$ means world coordinate system and $\Sigma_H$ dose hand coordinate system of the robot.

$$\begin{align*}
IR_j^i &= f_R(W^{\phi^i}_j, M_i, r_j, q), \\
IL_j^i &= f_L(W^{\phi^i}_j, M_i, r_j, q).
\end{align*}$$

These relations connect the defined points, $M_i r_j$, on the 3D object and projected points on the left and right images, since the joint angle vector of robot, $q$, can be detected by robot. $IR_j^i$ and $IL_j^i$ can be calculated when the value of $W^{\phi^i}_j$, which means pose(position and orientation) of the i-th model, is given by an assumption in Genetic Algorithm(GA) process.

2.2. Recognition Method Using Genetic Algorithm

The 3D marker as a target with color and shape information used in this paper is shown in Fig.2. The pose of the target is represented by the coordinate systems, $\Sigma_M$, which is fixed at the target.

In order to measure the pose of the target object, many solid models having the same shape and color with the real target object but having different poses are prepared. The state that the i-th solid model and the real target object exist in 3D space is shown in Fig.3(the right side). The pose of the i-th solid model is represented as $W^{\phi^i}_i = [t_{ix}^i, t_{iy}^i, t_{iz}^i, e_1^i, e_2^i, e_3^i]$ ($e = [e_1^i, e_2^i, e_3^i]$ is quaternion posture parameter) in $\Sigma_W$. Based on them, it can be confirmed that eye-vergence have a better stability and traceability than fixed-eye visual servoing system.
The six elements of $W\phi^i$ are expressed in binary with 12 bits. The shape and color of the solid model and the real target object projected to right camera image are shown in Fig.3 (the left side).

Then a correlation function representing a matching degree of projected model against the real target object in the image is used as a fitness function in GA process. When the pose of the model and that of the real target object are identical, the fitness function value is to be maximized. We have confirmed that a correlation function having the highest peak with a condition of the pose of real target completely being identical to the model could be designed [6], [7]. So the problem of recognition for target object can be changed into an optimization problem that explores the maximum of fitness function and finds the $W\phi^i$ to give the maximum.

There are many methods for the exploration of maximum of functions. The easiest method is full exploration that calculates function value for all and find the maximum, which takes too much time for real-time recognition. Therefore, in this research GA, which can find the maximum more efficiently in shorter time, is used to solve it [8]. Furthermore, a 1-step GA is proposed for real-time visual control [9].

3. Hand & Eye Visual Servoing Controller

The block diagram of the proposed hand & eye-vergence visual servoing controller is shown in Fig.4. Based on the above analysis of the desired-trajectory generation, the desired hand velocity $W\dot{r}_d$ is calculated as,

$$W\dot{r}_d = K_p W r_{E,Ed} + K_v W \omega_{E,Ed},$$

(2)

where $W r_{E,Ed}, W \omega_{E,Ed}$ can be calculated from homogeneous Matrix connecting End-effector coordinate $\Sigma_E$ and desired pose of end-effector $\Sigma_{Ed}$, $E T_{Ed}$ and $E T_{Ed}$. $K_p$ and $K_v$ are positive definite matrix to determine PD gain.

The desired hand angular velocity $W\omega_d$ is calculated as,

$$W\omega_d = K_p W R_E \Delta_\epsilon + K_v W \omega_{E,Ed},$$

(3)
where $^E \Delta \varepsilon$ is a quaternion error [10] calculated from the pose tracking result, and $^W \omega_{E, Ed}$ can be computed by transforming into variables on base coordinates of $\Sigma_W$ using $^E T_{Ed}$ and $^E T_{Ed}$. Also, $K_{P_o}$ and $K_{V_o}$ are suitable feedback matrix gains. The desired hand pose is represented as $^W \psi_d = [^W r_d, ^W \omega_d]^T$.

The desired joint variable $q_d = [q_{d1}, \ldots, q_{dN}]^T$ and $\dot{q}_d$ is obtained by

$$q_d = f^{-1}(^W \psi_d)$$

$$\dot{q}_d = K_p(q_{Ed} - q_E) + K_v J_E^+ (q) \left[ ^W \dot{r}_d, ^W \dot{\omega}_d \right]$$

where $K_p, K_v$ is positive definite matrices and $f^{-1}(^W \psi_d)$ is the inverse kinematic function and $J_E^+(q)$ is the pseudo-inverse matrix of $J_E(q)$. The Mitsubishi PA-10 robot arm is a 7 links manipulator, and the end-effector has 6-DoF, so it has a redundancy.

The hardware control system of the PD servo system of the robot PA-10 is expressed as

$$\tau = K_{SP}(q_d - q) + K_{SD}(\dot{q}_d - \dot{q})$$

where $K_{SP}$ and $K_{SD}$ are symmetric positive definite matrices to determine PD gain.

Furthermore, two rotatable cameras whose controller is separated from the hand’s are installed at the end-effector, which constitutes eye-vergence system.

4. Experiment of Hand & Eye-vergence Visual Servoing

4.1. Experimental Conditions

The experimental system is shown in Fig. 5, and the target 3D marker moves in the landscape direction. The initial hand pose is defined as $\Sigma_{E_0}$ and the initial object pose is defined as $\Sigma_{M_0}$. Both are stationary in space as shown in Fig. 5. The relation between the object and the desired end-effector is set as:

![Fig. 5. Experimental visual servoing system](image)
Target object moves in landscape direction according to the following time function as:

\[ M_{0x}(t) = -150 \cos(\omega t) [\text{mm}], \]  

(8)

4.2. Definition of Trackability

Here, to compare the trackability of the eye-vergence system and fixed camera system, we define a concept of gazing point. As it is shown in Fig. 6, the intersection of both cameras’ gazing directions is defined as the gazing point of the cameras. Since the tilt angle of both left and right cameras is common by kinematical design, there always exists the intersection of gazing directions, i.e. gazing point in space.

4.3. Experiment Results

Fig. 7 shows the results of the visual servoing experiments in landscape direction, where the position and orientation of the object are recognized by the cameras’ respectively (6-dof). We evaluated eye-vergence system comparing to fixed camera system using gazing point performance with different angular velocity, \( \omega = 0.314, \omega = 0.628, \omega = 1.256 \) as shown in Fig. 7 (a)(b)(c). We did each experiment for 60s for each value of \( \omega \) and got the average delay time and the amplitude to draw the frequency response curve. When the target object moves slowly, both hand and cameras can keep tracking with it, as shown in Fig. 7 (a). While, as the raise of the velocity of the target object, the hand can not keep tracking with it, but cameras can gaze at the object every time, as shown in Fig. 7 (b) and (c). The amplitude-frequency curve and the delay frequency curve are shown in Fig. 7 (d) and (e). Here, A means the amplitude of target object shown in (a), (b) and (c), and B means the maximum amplitude of end effector or gazing point. In these two figures the abscissa axes are \( \omega \). From (d), the curve of the fixed camera system is always below the curves of the cameras, we can see that the amplitude of the eye-vergence system is more closed to the target object than the fixed camera system. And from (e), the curve of the fixed camera system is also below the curves of the cameras, which means that the delay time in the fixed camera system is longer than in the eye-vergence system.
Fig. 7. The object moves in landscape direction and its position and orientation are estimated through “1-step GA,” then they are informed to the visual servoing controller as shown Visual Feedback Block in Fig.6. Then the frequency response results in this figure include robot’s dynamics and pose-tracking dynamics.

5. Conclusion

In this paper, we have carried out visual servoing experiments on frequency responses to evaluate the observability and trackability of hand & eye-vergence visual servoing with 1-step GA. Based on the experimental results, we have analyzed the trackability, amplitude-frequency and phase-frequency responses of the cameras of the eye-vergence system under moving object with different angular velocity. The experiments have shown that the trackability and observability of the eye-vergence system has been confirmed to function and the eye-vergence system’s ability to track a target in camera-depth direction has been clarified through frequency response experiments.
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